MENTAL DISORDERS SIGNS IN AFGHAN IMMIGRANTS/REFUGEES
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The goal of the study was to identify mental disorders signs in Afghan immigrants/refugees who lived in Tehran, Iran. Materials & Methods: In this research 453 Afghan immigrants/refugees (227 male and 226 female) were selected by cluster sampling from different areas of Tehran city. Instruments were a demographic questionnaire and GHQ-28 that completed by Afghan interviewers. Data were analyzed using descriptive analysis. SPSS software was used for analysis. Results: Findings showed that on the subscales of GHQ-28, prevalence of mental disorders signs in Afghan immigrants/refugees was high. Prevalence of Somatic signs was 6/3%-15/1%, Social dysfunction signs were 3/2%-16/7%, Anxiety/insomnia signs were 7/5%-16/3% and Severe Depression signs was 2/8%-21/8%. The most common signs on the subscale of
Somatic symptoms were feel run down and out of sorts (15/1%), and getting any pains in the head (11/9%), on the subscale of Anxiety/insomnia were loose of much sleep over worry, feel constantly under strain social dysfunction (each of them 16/3%), feel nervous and strung-up all the time (14/3%), and getting edgy and bad-tempered (12/3%), on the subscale of Social dysfunction was being able to enjoy your normal day-to-day activities (16/7%), on the subscale of Severe Depression were feel that life is entirely hapless (21/8%), feel that life isn't worth living (19/4%), find yourself wishing you were dead and away from it all (15/9%), and being thinking of yourself as a worthless person (10/7%).

Conclusion: Mental disorders signs had high prevalence in Afghan immigrants/refugees. So attention to mental health of them is necessary. Mental health care, psychiatric/psychological interventions are recommended for them. In providing of comprehensive/widespread mental health services, attention to religion/spirituality, and religious/spiritual interventions, is very important, which they consequently will increase self-efficacy and in turn, will promote mental health in them. It should be taken a community approach for providing such interventions. In the community approaches, primary prevention, treatment and rehabilitation are doing, in any level of health services, in inner of immigrant/refuge community. Specific aspect of this approach is insistence on participation in collective action, training in prevention of mental disorders and psycho educational training.
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Contribution/ Originality

This study contributes to investigate in terms of mental health problems of Afghan immigrants/refugees. It uses modified version of existing methodologies. It originates new formula about fight against terrorism/terrorist groups that by developing of insecurity/instability and war, increase immigration/refuge and they cause many mental health problems for people in the world. The article’s primary contribution is findings that recommend new strategies of mental health promotion for immigrants/refugees.

1. INTRODUCTION

Iran is a host country in the Middle East. Iran is one of Afghanistan's neighbors. Iran has accepted and supported Afghan immigrants and refugees for many years. Some studies have been carried to assess mental health problems among Afghan immigrants/refugees in Iran, especially those who are resettled in camps and marginalized around of deferent cities and provinces of Iran, for example Shiraz in Fars Province (Kalafi et al., 2002), Bardsir in Kerman Province (Moatamedi et al., 2003), Tehran in Tehran Province (Mohanmadian et al., 2005), and Dalakee in Bushehr Province (Azizi et al., 2006). These researchers have used various instruments in their studies for instance Beck's depression inventory (BDI), General Health Questionnaire-28 (GHQ-28) and so on.
Kalafi et al. (2002) reported that 34.5% of Afghan refugees settled in Shiraz, Iran scored high enough to be considered as having psychiatric problems. There was a significant positive relationship between refugees’ years of age and GHQ-28 subscales scores, for instance Physical health and Social functioning. Their mental health was not related to education or marital status. There was no a significant relationship between years of settling in Iran and total/subscales scores of GHQ-28. Moatamedi et al. (2003) reported that on the BDI, in Afghan refugees resettled of Bardsir Refugee Camp in Kerman Province of Iran, total prevalence of depression was 53%. The most severity of depression was in age group 20-29 years but there was no significant difference between depression and age. There was a significant relationship between depression and sex. Depression rate among single Afghan refugees was more than married Afghan refugees, but this relationship was not significant. The most severity of depression in relation with refugees duration was found in the people with refuges period of 141-150 months. Mohammadian et al. (2005) reported that on the GHQ-28, prevalence of mental disorders among Afghan immigrants was 55.6% (19.9% in males, 35.7% in females). Social dysfunction, Anxiety/insomnia, and Somatic subscales scores were higher than the Depression subscale score. Azizi et al. (2006) reported that on the subscales of a Persian version of the GHQ-28, the prevalence of Social dysfunction, Psychosomatic problem, Anxiety/insomnia and Depression in the Afghan refugees of Dalakee Refugee Camp in Bushehr Province in Iran were 80.1%, 48.9%, 39.3% and 22.1%, respectively. Total prevalence of mental health disorders in this camp was 88.5%. Male gender, living with more than eight persons per house, and being age ten or under at migration time were associated with higher level of social dysfunction. Higher rate of Psychosomatic problem was associated with unemployment, being born in Iran, being age ten or under at migration time, and having no entertaining programs. Having 1-3 children, living with more than eight persons per house, and positive history of chronic disease were associated with higher level of Anxiety/insomnia. Having no entertaining programs, and family members; death during migration were associated with higher level of Depression. Psycho-social distance among Afghan workers who working in Iran was a function of their annual income (Maher, 1994). The need for immigration usually depends on complicated relations between economical, social, familial and political factors. Unavailability to education, occupation, services and respecting to primary human rights are the most important factors in immigration (Moatamedi et al., 2003; Shaterian and Ganjipour, 2009; Lu et al., 2013)

Demographic characteristics and mental health problems are related with together, for example there was a significant association between mental disorders and demographic characteristics except for family size, and a significant association between mental disorders and type of residence (i.e. centered vs. non centered), but there was no significant relationship with the duration of stay in Iran, reason for migration and place of residence (Mohammadian et al., 2005).

Attention to issue of mental health and epidemiological studies, are the most important attempts to designing of preventive programs and providing of treatment and interventional programs. Providing of mental health of immigrants and refugees needs to know information.
Regarding to immigrants and refugees especially women and children are the most vulnerable persons in any of community, so the study and investigation of mental problems in these groups, have a very importance on the regards of planning for their mental health promotion (Dadfar et al., 2014). Study of their mental health provide some guidelines for researchers and therapists that on that basis can consider some attempts for planning of public health in primary prevention in order to mental health needs of immigrants and refugees, and on that basis can attend to community approaches in the treatment of their mental health (Dadfar et al., 2014). The goal of the study was to identify mental disorders signs in Afghan immigrants/refugees who lived in Tehran, Iran.

2. MATERIALS & METHODS

This study was a cross – sectional. The subjects were Afghan immigrants/refugees of 15 years and older who were living in settlements and neighborhoods of North, South, East, West and Center areas of Tehran city in Iran, with a population of over 111500 household. In this research 453 Afghan immigrants/refugees (227 male and 226 female) were selected by cluster sampling. Data gathering was done using a demographic questionnaire and a Persian translated version of the General Health Questionnaire-28 (GHQ-28). First settlements and neighborhoods of Afghan immigrants/refugees resident in Tehran were determined by Afghans interviewers under support of the Society for Support of Refugee Women and Children in Iran. Then questionnaires were set and approved by the researchers. Training of interviewers during two short courses by a pilot study of the questionnaires and resolve of problems about sampling were began. Interviewers consisted of 11 Afghan students of courses of medical sciences, humanities, and engineering and they were introduced by the Society for Support of Refugee Women and Children in Iran. Sample size was estimated 453 by a sample size formula. Then, using cluster sampling method, 453 families and from each family 1 person including 227 males and 226 females, were selected. Demographic questionnaire was including age, sex, marital status, educational status, employment status, number of households, place of residence (assembled, & marginality of city), type of residence (centralized, & decentralized), and length of stay in Iran, type of migration/refuge (legal, & illegal), and reasons of migration/refuge (internal war, opposition to the regime, seeking better conditions, and family pressure).

GHQ-28 was made by Goldberg and Hiller (1979), is a self- administered, self-report questionnaire. It is used for the detection of psychiatric distress related to general medical illness (Chan, 2013). GHQ-28 is a screening device for identifying minor psychiatric disorders in the general population and within community or non psychiatric clinical settings such as primary
care or general medical outpatients. Suitable for all ages from adolescent upwards – not children, it assesses the respondent’s current state and asks if that differs from his or her usual state. Respondents indicate if their current “state” differs from his or her usual state—thereby assessing change in characteristics and not lifelong personality characteristics. Subjects base their responses on their health state over the past two weeks. It is therefore sensitive to short-term psychiatric disorders but not to long-standing attributes of the respondent. It is focuses on two major areas: 1) The inability to carry out normal functions, and 2) The appearance of new and distressing phenomena. It is an ideal screening device for identifying non psychotic and minor psychiatric disorders to help inform further intervention. GHQ-28 designed to assess 4 aspects of distress: 1) Depression, 2) Anxiety, 3) Social impairment, and 4) Hypochondriasis (Sterling, 2011; Chan, 2013). In other words, GHQ-28 have four subscales and it assesses Somatic symptoms, Anxiety/insomnia, Social dysfunction and Severe depression (Goldberg and Williams, 1998; Ghodsbin et al., 2015; Zare et al., 2015). Number of items is 28. Its administration time is usually approximately 5 minutes. Scoring of GHQ-28 is a calculation of total score. Different scoring methods of scoring are possible, which will affect the total score. The traditional scoring method provided assigns a score of 0 for responses 1 and 2 (“not at all” and “no more than usual”) and a score of 1 for responses 3 and 4 (“rather more than usual” and “much more than usual”). Another scoring method in use assigns a score of 0 for response 1 and a score of 1 for response 2–4 for the 18 negative items, and a score of 0 for responses 1 and 2, a score of 1 for responses 3 and 4 for the 7 positive items. Total score range from 0 to 28. Higher scores indicate a greater probability of a psychiatric distress. Total scores that exceed 4 out of 28 suggest probable distress (Chan, 2013). Another scoring method is that each of four subscales contains 7 items scored on a Likert scale. GHQ-28 has a 4-item response with ‘Not at all’, ‘No more than usual’, ‘Rather more than usual’, and ‘Much more than usual’. Several scoring methods are available. In the study we used the Likert scale to show the symptoms’ severity with scores between 0–3 (0–1–2–3, subscale range 0 to 21). A greater score indicates lower health. Cut of points and normative data have been established for the Iranian population. Optimal threshold concept is more useful for estimating the prevalence in large population than screening for individual cases. In study of Shahrokhi (2003), Zare et al. (2015), Ghodsbin et al. (2015), Iranian participants were classified using the cutoff point of 7 for probable mental disorder and 14 for severe mental disorder in each domain and 23 for the GHQ total score in an Iranian version by (Shahrokhi, 2003; Ghodsbin et al., 2015; Zare et al., 2015). In the study we used the cutoff point of 21 for the GHQ-28 total score, as suggested by Palahang et al. (1996). GHQ-28 was translated into 38 languages including Persian language. There is no special training is required for GHQ-28. The GHQ-28’s subscales represent dimensions of symptomatology and not distinct diagnoses. As the scales are not independent of each other, the total score has better utility to indicate general psychological disorder than the individual scores do to screen for specific psychological disorders. Only one study has assessed the construct validity of the GHQ-28 among Iranian populations. The GHQ-28 is appropriate for
individuals who are at least 11 years of age. Values have been reported for the reliability of the GHQ-28 for the different population (Griffiths et al., 1993)(Sterling, 2011; Chan, 2013) and Iranian population (Palahang et al., 1996), 28-item (Ghodsbin et al., 2015; Zare et al., 2015) (Scaled General Health Questionnaire-28 (GHQ-28), 2015).

Ethical considerations were considered including: Try to trust and cooperation of the Afghan immigrants/refugees by explanation of goals of the study to them, informed consent and confidentiality of their name. The Afghan immigrants/refugees, who did not agree to participate in this research, were excluded. Data were analyzed by descriptive analysis. SPSS software was used for analysis.

3. RESULTS

Findings showed that 32/9% of Afghan immigrants/refugees had less than 20 years old. Educational status was 25/2% illiterate, and 21/6% middle school. 48/3% were married, 46/9% single, and 4/8% divorced. Employment status was 42/4% employed, 22/7% housewife, and 22/7% student. Number of households was 7 persons and higher (44/8%), and 4-6 persons (39/3%). Place of residence was assembled (75/9%), and marginality of city (24/1%). Type of residence was centralized (76/4%), and decentralized (24/9%). Length of stay in Iran was less than 5 years (18/3%), 5-10 years (20/1%), 16-20 years (11/5%), and higher than 21 (19%). Type of migration/refuge was legal (51/2%), and illegal (48/8%). Reasons of migration/refuge were including: Internal war (64/7%), opposition to the regime (21/2%), seeking better conditions (11/5%), and family pressure (1/1%).

On the subscales of the GHQ-28, prevalence of mental disorders signs in Afghan immigrants/refugees was high. Prevalence of Somatic signs was 6/3%-15/1%, Social dysfunction signs was 3/2%-16/7%, Anxiety/insomnia signs was 7/5%-16/3%, and Severe Depression signs was 2/8%-21/8%. The most common psychiatric disorders signs on the subscale of Somatic symptoms were items of 3: Feel run down and out of sorts (15/1%), and 5: Getting any pains in the head (11/9%), on the subscale of Anxiety/insomnia were items of 8: Loose of much sleep over worry, 10: Feel constantly under strain social dysfunction (each of them 16/3%), 11: Getting edgy and bad-tempered (12/3%), and 14: Feel nervous and strung-up all the time (14/3%), on the subscale of Social dysfunction was item of 21: Being able to enjoy your normal day-to-day activities (16/7%), on the subscale of Severe Depression were items of 22: Being thinking of yourself as a worthless person (10/7%), 23: Feel that life is entirely hapless (21/8%), 24: Feel that life isn’t worth living (19/4%), and 27: Find yourself wishing you were dead and away from it all (15/9%) (See Table 1).
### Table 1. Psychiatric signs on the subscales of GHQ-28 in Afghan immigrants/refugees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscales/Items</th>
<th>F (%)</th>
<th>Subscales/Items</th>
<th>F (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Somatic symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Have you recently</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Been feeling perfectly well and in good health?</td>
<td>21 (8/3)</td>
<td>15. Been managing to keep yourself busy and occupied?</td>
<td>15 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Been feeling in need of a good tonic?</td>
<td>23 (9/1)</td>
<td>16. Been taking longer over the things you do?</td>
<td>26 (10/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Been feeling run down and out of sorts?</td>
<td>38 (15/1)</td>
<td>17. Felt on the whole you were doing things well?</td>
<td>8 (3/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Felt that you are ill?</td>
<td>24 (9/5)</td>
<td>18. Been satisfied with the way you’ve carried out your task?</td>
<td>18 (7/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Been getting any pains in your head?</td>
<td>30 (11/9)</td>
<td>19. Felt that you are playing a useful part in things?</td>
<td>14 (5/6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Been getting a feeling of tightness or pressure in your head?</td>
<td>16 (6/3)</td>
<td>20. Felt capable of making decisions about things?</td>
<td>15 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Been having hot or cold spells?</td>
<td>20 (7/3)</td>
<td>21. Been able to enjoy your normal day-to-day activities?</td>
<td>42 (16/7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social dysfunction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Have you recently</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Lost much sleep over worry?</td>
<td>41 (16/3)</td>
<td>22. Been thinking of yourself as a worthless person?</td>
<td>27 (10/7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Had difficulty in staying asleep once you are off?</td>
<td>25 (9/9)</td>
<td>23. Felt that life is entirely hapless?</td>
<td>55 (21/8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Been getting scared or panicky for no good reason?</td>
<td>24 (9/5)</td>
<td>26. Found at times you couldn’t do anything because your nerves were too bad?</td>
<td>16 (6/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Found everything getting on top of you?</td>
<td>19 (7/5)</td>
<td>27. Found yourself wishing you were dead and away from it all?</td>
<td>40 (15/9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Been feeling nervous and strung-up all the time?</td>
<td>36 (14/3)</td>
<td>28. Found that of the idea taking your own life kept coming into your mind?</td>
<td>7 (2/8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anxiety/Insomnia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Have you recently</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Been taking longer over the things you do?</td>
<td>26 (10/3)</td>
<td>23. Felt that life is entirely hapless?</td>
<td>55 (21/8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Felt on the whole you were doing things well?</td>
<td>8 (3/2)</td>
<td>24. Felt that life isn’t worth living?</td>
<td>49 (19/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Been satisfied with the way you’ve carried out your task?</td>
<td>18 (7/1)</td>
<td>25. Thought of the possibility that you might away with yourself?</td>
<td>11 (4/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Felt that you are playing a useful part in things?</td>
<td>14 (5/6)</td>
<td>26. Found at times you couldn’t do anything because your nerves were too bad?</td>
<td>16 (6/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Felt capable of making decisions about things?</td>
<td>15 (6)</td>
<td>27. Found yourself wishing you were dead and away from it all?</td>
<td>40 (15/9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Been able to enjoy your normal day-to-day activities?</td>
<td>42 (16/7)</td>
<td>28. Found that of the idea taking your own life kept coming into your mind?</td>
<td>7 (2/8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. DISCUSSION

Afghan immigrants/refugees in Tehran city of Iran had high mental disorders signs. These findings are according to other studies, for example in study of Kalafi et al. (2002), rate of mental health problems in the Afghan refugees was higher than in the native population. Prevalence of depression among Afghan refugees in Iran except sex didn’t relate with demographic factors and mainly the factors after migration affected the prevalence of depression (Moatamedi et al., 2003). Mental disorders had high prevalence in Afghan immigrants in Tehran (Mohammadian et al., 2005). Mental health problems related to immigration and living in camps, were common among Afghan refugees in Dalakee of Iran (Azizi et al., 2006).

Many studies have carried on immigration and mental health in different countries for example (Pernice and Brook, 1996; Khavarpoor and Rissel, 1997; Gernaat et al., 2002; Fazel et al., 2005; Naem et al., 2005; Desouzan, 2006; Gerritsen et al., 2006; Gerritsen et al., 2006; Miyasaka et al., 2007; Takeuchi et al., 2007; Bhugra et al., 2010; Lu, 2010; Guruge et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2012; Missinne and Bracke, 2012).

In the process of migration occurs acculturation. Some studies have addressed to this issue or other related issues among immigrants and refugees in different countries, such as acculturation
and mental health (Khabaz Beheshti, 2001; Moghaddas and Amiri, 2006; Kheikhah, 2007; O’Mahony and Donnelly, 2007; Iman and Moradi, 2009; Kuo, 2011; Yoon et al., 2012; Gupta et al., 2013; Kuo et al., 2013; Kuo, 2014), acculturation strategies and depressive and anxiety disorders (Ünlü Ince et al., 2014), stress and coping strategies (Yakushko et al., 2008; Iman and Moradi, 2010; Yakushko, 2010; Kuo, 2011; Kuo, 2013; Dadfar et al., 2014).

According to the findings of the studies mentioned above, including the findings of our study on Afghan immigrants/refugees, attention to mental health of immigrants/refugees population, is necessary. Mental health care, psychiatric and psychological interventions are recommended in a cultural context and framework of Iran (Atef Vahid, 2004; Bolhari et al., 2010; Dadfar et al., 2014; Dadfar et al., 2014). There are various mental health interventions and health care services for immigrants/refugees population (Gerritsen et al., 2006; Benak and Chung, 2008; Murray et al., 2010; Multicultural Mental Health Australia (MMHA), 2011). In this respect, observance of principles and standards of professional behavior and ethics to provide psychological services are necessary (Atef Vahid and Dadfar, 2014). In providing of comprehensive and widespread mental health services, attention to religion, spirituality, and religious/spiritual interventions is very important (Iman and Moradi, 2006; Abdel-Khalek and Lester, 2009; Abdel-Khalek, 2010; Abdel-Khalek and Lester, 2013; Abdel-Khalek, 2014; Abdel-Khalek, 2014; Bahrami et al., 2014; Bakrami et al., 2014; Dadfar and Lester, 2014; Remezani Farani et al., 2014). Such interventions can increase level of self-efficacy (Kolivand et al., 2014), which consequently will promote mental health in immigrants and refugees (Dadfar et al., 2014). Also it should be taken a systemic, holistic, community approach for providing such interventions (Bolhari, 2013; Dadfar et al., 2014; Dadfar et al., 2015). In the community approaches, primary prevention, treatment and rehabilitation are doing, in any level of health services, in inner of immigrant and refugee community. Specific aspect of this approach is insistence on participation in collective action, training in prevention of mental disorders and psycho educational training.
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